Quality of Service on Linux for Okinawa the Atlas TDAQ Event Building Network

ATLAS TDAQ

Y.Yasu(1), A.Manabe(1), Y.Nagasaka(2), H.Hasegawa(3), M.Shimojima (4), M.Nomachi(5), H.Fujii(1) and Y.Watase(1) on behalf of the Atlas Trigger/DAQ group

> (1) High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK) Oho 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305 Japan (2) Department of Information and Intellectual Systems Engineering Faculty of Engineering Hiroshima Institute of Technology 2-1-1 Miyake, Saeki-ku, Hiroshima 731-5193 Japan (3) Faculty of Science, Shinshu University Asahi 3-1-1, Matsumoto, 390-8621 Nagano Japan (4) Department of Electrical Engineering Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science (NIAS) 536 Aba-machi, Nagasaki 851-01 Japan (5) Graduate School of Science, Osaka University Machikaneyama 1-1, Toyanaka-city, 560-0043 Osaka Japan

JPS



Why QoS is necessary

What QoS is

JPS

Okinawa

Performance Measurements Packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer QoS software overhead on TCP/IP transfer Packet distribution on TCP/IP transfer

Conclusion



ATLAS TDAQ

> UDP/IP multicast transfer is attractive for broadcasting messages to Event Builder Sources from scalability point of view, but UDP/IP may lose the messages(packets).



- > Constant Bit Rate of ATM network can eliminate the packet loss by managing the bandwidth.
- > QoS in Linux kernel can manage the bandwidth.

Can the QoS eliminate the packet loss?



CBQ can classify incoming packets into multiple classes. CBQ can share and limit the transfer rate.

JPS

Okinawa

5



TBF can limit the transfer rate according to the token rate

JPS

Okinawa

ATLAS

TDAQ

7



Traffic control is done only at output queueing.

Outgoing packet is only controlled.

JPS



U32 can specify IP address to manage the bandwidth.

TBF limits the bandwidth to 100Mbit/s on 1000Mbit/s network at this example.

JPS

Okinawa

.....

ATLAS

TDAQ



Packet loss measurement

Measurement of QoS software overhead

Packet distribution measurement



3Com Super StackII Switch 9300



Configuration of PC500 system

CPU:	PentiumIII/500MHz	Linux kernel version :	2.4.5
Chipset	440GX	gcc version:	egcs-2.91-66
Memory:	100MHz/SDRAM/256MB	acenic driver version :	0.8
PCIbus:	32-bit/33MHz		
NIC:	AceNIC(1MB)		





Sender sends message with 10 bytes to receivers in best effort.

Packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer (Cont.)





Packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer(Cont.)







ATLAS

TDAQ



Packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer(Cont.)



ATLAS

TDAQ



3Com Super StackII Switch 9300



Assigned bandwidth is 1000Mbit/s.

Sender sends message with 10 bytes to a receiver in best effort.

This bandwidth does not limit the transfer, but it consumes CPU time.

Transfer speed in Mbit/sec.





ATLAS

TDAQ





3Com Super StackII Switch 9300



Assigned bandwidth is 10Mbit/s.

Sender sends message with 10 bytes to a receiver.

tcpdump utility is used for capturing packets





Horizontal axis : sending packet time interval

With QoS, some packets were sent at 10 msec scheduling time. Without QoS, packets are mostly sent in several 10 usec.



With 1kHz trigger, packets are mostly sent around 1msec. There are no difference between QoS and NoQoS.



We measured with/without QoS in Linux kernel:

- 1) Packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer
- 2) QoS software overhead on TCP/IP transfer
- 3) Packet distribution on TCP/IP transfer

We concluded:

- 1) QoS could eliminate packet loss on UDP/IP multicast transfer. This shows the feasibility to solve the scalability issue of ATLAS TDAQ Event Builder.
- 2) QoS was efficiently performed on TCP/IP transfer. CPU usage of QoS on the transfer was small.
- 3) With QoS, some packets were sent at 10 msec scheduling time.